

nl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,612	09/08/2003	Gopalan Ramanujam	42P14609	2531
Jeffrey S. Drae	7590 04/12/2007 ger	EXAMINER		
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030			MAI, TAN V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/658,612	RAMANUJAM,	GOPALAN			
		Examiner	Art Unit ,				
		Tan V. Mai	2193				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence	address			
Period fo		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(20) DAYO			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! Is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS CC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe tion. y period will apply and will expire s y statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>08 March 2007</u> .					
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ This action is non-fina	al.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , '	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the appli	cation.	. •	•			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>26-31</u> is/are allowed.	•	•				
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 32-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election require	ment.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	vaminer					
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	ected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
				·			
Attachmer		_					
. ==	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	· —	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
гар		٥, 🗅					

Application/Control Number: 10/658,612

Art Unit: 2193

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-25 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite a apparatus / method / tangible machine readable medium for performing a mathematical function.

"[t]o satisfy section 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the Sec. 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways:

The claimed invention "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing.

. The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result,...".

See "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" OG Date: 22 November 2005.

Since there is no physical transform to establish a practical application, a useful, concrete and tangible result <u>appears</u> to be lacking. Therefore, claims 1-25 and 32-33 are clearly directed to a non-statutory process.

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/8/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant, in his remarks, argues that:

"[c]laims 1-25 and 32-33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims have been amended to appear in proper condition for allowance" (emphasis added).

Art Unit: 2193

With respect to the argument, the examiner carefully reviews Applicant's claimed invention. It is noted that applicant hasn't pointed out how/why the claim produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result. If the <u>claim</u> as a whole is reasonably interpreted as just solving a mathematical algorithm rather than reciting a <u>practical application</u> of the algorithm which produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, then it would be non-statutory. It would appear to be concrete and tangible in the context of the claim; however, the useful result appears lacking. Therefore, the rejection is still proper.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,612

Art Unit: 2193

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner